

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/945,448 | BRUNNER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Chih-Ching Chow | 2191 | |

All Participants:

(1) Chih-Ching Chow.

(2) Mr. Jonathan Geld Reg. No. 44,702.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 January 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 17, 21, 41 49, 50, and 59

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner recommends the Applicant representative to amend the claims in order to obviate possible 101 issues; also to fix the claims in the correct wordings so there won't be 'lack of antecedent' issue.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

My Stelma
Primary Examiner 1.22.07

Chih-Ching Chow
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)